UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES



TO: U. S. DISTRIC	CT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE William Q. Hayes
FROM: M. Cruz,	Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 5/7/08
CASE NO.: 07cv2373-WOH-CAB DOCUMENT FILED BY: Chad McKinney, Pro Se	
CASE TITLE: McKinney v. Apollo Group Inc. et al	
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Plaintiff's Reply To The Defendant's "Notice Of Motion And Motion To Set	
Aside Entry Of Default Against The University Of Phoenix, Inc."	
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:	
✓ Local Rule	Discrepancy
5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
7.1	Missing table of contents
15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
	OTHER:
T IT IS HEREBY ORDER	Date forwarded: 5/7/98 ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE RED:
The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.	
Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers	
Counsel is advised to Local Rule 83.1	that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant CHAMBERS OF: Jodge Hayes
Dated: 5-7 cc: All Parties	